"Clean Environment" Results and Performance Accountability Sorting Exercise

1. Optional: Read the Background Story.

2. Using the Results-Based Decision Making Schematic, as a group determine which are:

Population Results Indicators Baselines Baselines Targets Story behind the baseline Partners What Works / Action Plan Performance measures

(If some do not fit neatly into a single category, re-write or split them into two categories.)

3. Post your results on a piece of flip chart paper and report back.

All residents of the state	Pounds per year of pesticides and fertilizer entering ground water
A Clean Environment for now and the future	Replace old irrigation ditches and pipelines
Clean Air and clean Water	Extensive farm use of pesticides and fertilizer
Percent of stream miles with water quality impairments	Legislation allowing sale of polution credits
Percent of days better than clean air standards (w/o exceedances)	Increased traffic volume
Percent of industries in compliance with emission standards	Snow storage is significant source of nonpoint source pollutants
In 2003, 35 air quality exceedances vs 19 in 2001 (CO,O3,NO2,SO2,PM10, PM25)	Increase enforcement staff
In 2002, 85% ofstream miles were within water quality standards	Shift to fall grazing allows recovery of stream areas

Farmers learned that fall State Department of nitrogen application was **Environmental Quality** unnecesary Percent of farmers All residents of stream applying nitrogen in the fall catchment areas In 2000, 70% of farmers legislators applied nitrogen to their fields in the fall colleges media and universities farmers health department **Extension Service** city managers advocacy organizations businesses **EPA** parents

2010 projection of 45 air quality standard exceedances

Tax subsidies for lower emission vehicles

In 2004, 444.2 stream miles with fecal coliform impairment

Schools sponsor Earth Day activities

Media campaign on the importance of recylcing

Create water quality committee

Additional funding for Superfund cleanup

Rate of attendance at training sessions

Percent of departmental supervisory staff with supervisory training

Ratio of stream water quality monitoring staff to stream miles

By 2010, reduce total stream miles with impairment from 1,300 to 900